Digital Transformation and Judicial Performance: Evidence from Law Courts in Nairobi City County, Kenya

Getrude Asango[*1], Josphat Kyalo[2]

[*1,2] Department of Management Science, School of Business, Economics and Tourism

Kenyatta University

Abstract: **This study investigated the effect of digital transformation on the performance of law courts in Nairobi City County, Kenya. The objective was to examine how digital hardware, digital software, system users' competence, and system outsourcing influence court efficiency and effectiveness. The research was guided by the Resource-Based View (RBV), Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), and Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theories, which provided the theoretical basis for linking digital adoption with organizational performance. A descriptive research design was adopted, with data collected from 150 judicial officers and staff through stratified sampling. Both quantitative and qualitative approaches were applied, with correlation and regression analyses used to test the relationships among variables. Findings revealed that digital hardware had the strongest positive effect on court performance, followed by system users, software, and outsourcing. The study concludes that digital transformation enhances judicial efficiency, transparency, and access to justice, though challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, limited skills, and cybersecurity risks persist. It recommends sustained investment in digital infrastructure, capacity-building of court personnel, and strategic outsourcing of IT services to strengthen judicial performance.**

Keywords: **digital transformation, digital hardware, digital software, cybersecurity risks, judicial performance.**

1. INTRODUCTION

The judiciary plays a central role in ensuring access to justice, yet courts worldwide continue to face inefficiencies characterized by case backlogs, outdated systems, and inadequate resources. Digital legal platforms encompassing electronic filing, virtual hearings, automated case management, and AI-assisted research have emerged globally as tools to enhance judicial efficiency, transparency, and accessibility (Gillespie, 2020; Nguyen & Rieger, 2021). While developed countries have realized significant gains through such systems, many developing nations, particularly in Africa, struggle with weak infrastructure, resource constraints, and resistance to change (Mukami & Muriithi, 2022; Nkurunziza, 2023; Too & Mutuku, 2025).

Kenya's judiciary mirrors these challenges. Persistent case backlogs, manual filing systems, corruption, and underfunding have undermined the timely delivery of justice. By 2023, over 600,000 cases were pending in Kenyan courts, with nearly half of prison inmates awaiting trial (Judiciary of Kenya, 2023; Mulwa, 2023). Recent reforms, including the introduction of the Electronic Case Management System (ECMS), e-filing, and virtual hearings, represent significant strides toward modernization. These initiatives accelerated proceedings during the COVID-19 pandemic, yet systemic barriers such as limited digital infrastructure, inadequate staff training, and cybersecurity concerns continue to slow progress (Omollo, 2022; Agina, 2022).

Court performance is typically assessed through indicators such as case clearance rates, access to justice, client satisfaction, and judicial productivity (Asugah, 2021). In Kenya, inefficiencies at both superior and subordinate courts hinder these

Page | 511

outcomes. Nairobi City County, which hosts a full range of courts from the Supreme Court to specialized tribunals, provides a comprehensive setting for examining the impact of digital platforms on judicial operations (Odote & Kanyinga, 2021; Mulwa, 2023).

Digital legal platform resources comprising hardware, software, system users, and outsourced digital services form the foundation of modern judicial processes (Muigua, 2020). However, adoption gaps remain: only 40% of Kenyan courts reportedly possess adequate digital hardware, while over 60% of staff require training to effectively use available systems (Judiciary of Kenya, 2022). Furthermore, concerns about cybersecurity and unequal access persist, raising questions about sustainability and inclusivity.

Although several scholars have examined e-justice adoption in Kenya (Odote & Kanyinga, 2021; Weisiko, 2023), limited attention has been paid to how specific dimensions of digital transformation collectively influence judicial performance in a developing country context. This study seeks to fill that gap by investigating the extent to which digital hardware contributes to court performance, assess the effect of digital software on judicial processes, establish the role of system users in enhancing efficiency, and evaluate the impact of system outsourcing on court operations. By addressing these objectives, the research provides valuable insights into how technological investments and user competence can be harnessed to improve efficiency, service delivery, and access to justice within Kenya's judiciary.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Literature Review

Studies on digital transformation in judicial systems show that technological tools such as e-filing, case management software, courtroom hardware, and outsourcing of IT services have enhanced efficiency, transparency, and access to justice across different jurisdictions. Theoretical perspectives including the Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovations Theory, Systems Theory, and the Resource-Based View explain adoption patterns by highlighting factors such as usability, leadership, system integration, and the strategic value of digital resources. Evidence from Kenya and other contexts indicates that while reliable and user-friendly platforms reduce case backlogs and improve performance, persistent challenges ranging from weak infrastructure, inadequate training, and limited capacity building to high costs and cybersecurity risks continue to hinder sustainability and equity.

2.2 Empirical Literature Review

Empirical studies on digital transformation in courts highlight both efficiency gains and persistent gaps across hardware, software, user engagement, and outsourcing. Research on digital hardware shows that advanced courtroom technologies, such as video conferencing, kiosks, and evidence presentation systems, generally reduce trial duration and ease access to justice (Bender, 2021; Sandoval-Almazan & Gil-Garcia, 2020; Mulwa, 2023; Stoykova, 2023; Mahar, Mahmood, & Zafar, 2024). However, challenges remain regarding long-term costs, implementation barriers, and user resistance.

Digital software applications, particularly case management systems, e-filing platforms, scheduling tools, and online dispute resolution (ODR), have been found to significantly accelerate case processing, reduce adjournments, and enhance access (Kim & Kim, 2020; Mahibha & Balasubramanian, 2020; Baumgart, 2023; Ademi, 2024). Yet, gaps persist in addressing training needs, security vulnerabilities, and inclusivity for marginalized groups.

At the user level, capacity-building initiatives such as digital literacy training, continuous professional development, and leadership programs improve efficiency and reduce errors (Rozalski, Yell, & Warner, 2021; Mjwana et al., 2021; Price, 2018; Cruz, 2018; Khan & Ali, 2021). Still, studies often overlook retention of skills, generational differences in adoption, and the long-term impact of alternative dispute resolution training. Further, outsourcing of legal IT functions ranging from cloud-based research and transcription to cybersecurity and analytics has been shown to enhance accuracy, reduce downtime, and ease case backlogs (Chen & Wang, 2018; Kovacs, 2021; Silva, 2021; Spatafora, 2023; Borah, 2018). However, concerns remain over sustainability, costs, data privacy, and dependency on third-party providers.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a descriptive research design to examine how digital legal platform resources influence the performance of law courts in Nairobi City County, Kenya. The focus was on digital hardware, software, system users, and outsourcing, and how these elements affect efficiency, case management, and access to justice. The target population

comprised 240 judicial officers and administrative staff across five courts, from which a stratified random sample of 150 respondents was drawn to ensure balanced representation. Data were collected using semi-structured questionnaires that combined closed and open-ended items, with piloting conducted to refine clarity and reliability. Content and construct validity were ensured through expert review, while Cronbach's Alpha was used to test reliability. Ethical approval was obtained from Kenyatta University, NACOSTI, and the Judiciary of Kenya, with data gathered through both physical and electronic questionnaires. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression with SPSS, while qualitative data underwent thematic analysis to capture respondent perspectives. Diagnostic tests including normality, multicollinearity, and homoscedasticity were applied to validate regression assumptions, ensuring robust and reliable findings on the relationship between digital investments and court performance.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Descriptive Analysis

The descriptive analysis was conducted using mean and standard deviation, and the results are presented in tables. Responses were rated on a scale where Strongly Agree (SA) = 5, Agree (A) = 4, Neutral (N) = 3, Disagree (D) = 2, and Strongly Disagree (SD) = 1. The mean (M) and standard deviation (Std. Dev.) were used to summarize the data.

4.1.1 Digital Hardware

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for digital hardware in the context of law courts in Nairobi County, Kenya.

Table 1: Descriptive Results for Digital Hardware

	Mean	Std Dev.
The availability of modern courtroom infrastructure (e.g., digital case filing and video conferencing) has improved legal service delivery	3.75	0.93
Investment in electronic devices and network systems has enhanced communication and workflow efficiency in court operations	3.88	1.00
The use of digital evidence presentation systems has streamlined case proceedings and improved judicial decision-making	3.78	1.02
Courts equipped with functioning digital recording systems experience fewer disruptions and increased efficiency in handling legal cases	3.90	0.98
Regular maintenance and upgrading of courtroom digital hardware have enhanced the reliability of legal systems.	3.90	0.82
Lack of proper maintenance and technical support for digital courtroom infrastructure negatively affects judicial performance	3.80	0.99

Source: Research Data (2025)

The findings highlight the strong role of digital hardware in enhancing the performance of law courts. A majority (64.84%) agreed that modern courtroom infrastructure has improved legal service delivery (mean = 3.75, SD = 0.93), while 64.06% noted that investments in electronic devices and network systems have boosted communication and workflow efficiency (mean = 3.88, SD = 1.00). Similarly, 62.72% of respondents acknowledged that digital evidence presentation systems streamline proceedings and aid judicial decision-making (mean = 3.78, SD = 1.02).

Digital recording systems were especially well-regarded, with 70.48% confirming that they reduce disruptions and enhance case handling efficiency (mean = 3.90, SD = 0.98). The importance of regular maintenance and upgrades was strongly emphasized, with 75.59% agreeing that such practices improve system reliability (mean = 3.90, SD = 0.82). Conversely, 64.06% highlighted that poor maintenance and limited technical support negatively affect court performance (mean = 3.80, SD = 0.99).

Overall, the results underscore that well-invested, functional, and properly maintained digital hardware is crucial for improving efficiency, reliability, and decision-making in Nairobi's courts, while insufficient support and upkeep remain key barriers.

4.1.2 Digital Software

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics for digital software in the context of law courts in Nairobi County, Kenya.

Table 2: Descriptive Results for Digital Software

	Mean	Std. Dev.
The adoption of case management software has improved the tracking and processing of legal cases, reducing delays	3.59	1.05
Automated scheduling systems have minimized adjournments and improved overall court efficiency	3.58	1.15
The use of secure digital databases has enhanced access to legal documents while protecting sensitive court information.	3.58	1.07
Courts with strong cybersecurity measures experience fewer cases of data breaches or unauthorized access to judicial records.	3.83	0.96
AI-powered legal research tools assist judicial officers in making more informed and timely legal decisions	3.69	0.97
The integration of AI-driven document automation has streamlined court documentation and reduced clerical errors	3.57	1.06

Source: Research Data (2025)

The analysis of digital software adoption in the judiciary reveals moderate but consistent support for its role in improving court operations. A majority (54.69%) agreed that case management software has enhanced the tracking and processing of cases, thereby reducing delays, though neutrality was notable (32.03%) with a mean of 3.59 (SD = 1.05). Similarly, 57.03% affirmed that automated scheduling systems minimize adjournments and enhance efficiency, yielding a mean of 3.58 (SD = 1.15), despite significant variation in responses. Secure digital databases were positively received by 53.13% of respondents, who highlighted their role in improving access to legal documents and protecting sensitive information (mean = 3.58, SD = 1.07). Stronger endorsement was observed for cybersecurity measures, with 69.53% agreeing that they reduce data breaches and unauthorized access, reflected in a higher mean of 3.83 (SD = 0.96). Emerging technologies also received encouraging support. Over half (58.60%) recognized the contribution of AI-powered legal research tools in improving decision-making (mean = 3.69, SD = 0.97), while 53.13% supported AI-driven document automation for reducing clerical errors and streamlining documentation (mean = 3.57, SD = 1.06).Overall, these results underscore the potential of digital software to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and security in court processes, though neutrality and variability in responses suggest the need for wider awareness, training, and system standardization to maximize adoption and impact.

4.1.3 System Users

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics for system users, focusing on how various factors related to training, digital competence, and capacity-building programs impact the overall performance of courts

Table 3: Descriptive Results for System Users

	Mean	Std. Dev.
Regular training on digital legal platform resources enhances the efficiency of judicial officers and court clerks	4.01	0.93
Courts that implement continuous training programs experience fewer challenges in digital system adoption	4.04	0.94
Judicial officers and court clerks with prior experience in digital tools adapt more quickly to legal technology	3.91	0.95
Higher digital competence among court staff reduces the likelihood of errors in case processing and documentation	4.05	0.94
Investing in digital literacy and technical training strengthens the capacity of court staff to use legal technology effectively	3.86	0.90
Capacity-building programs focused on digital transformation enhance the overall performance of courts	4.01	0.94

Source: Research Data (2025)

The findings on system users emphasize the critical role of training, prior experience, and digital competence in strengthening the judiciary's use of digital platforms. A majority (76.56%) of respondents agreed that regular training enhances the efficiency of judicial officers and clerks, supported by a mean score of 4.01 (SD = 0.93). Similarly, 74.22% confirmed that continuous training programs reduce challenges in system adoption (mean = 4.04, SD = 0.94), underscoring the importance of sustained learning initiatives. Prior experience with digital tools was also considered beneficial, with 66.41% affirming that it facilitates faster adaptation to new technologies (mean = 3.91, SD = 0.95). Digital competence emerged as a strong determinant of accuracy and efficiency, as 78.91% agreed it reduces errors in case processing and documentation (mean = 4.05, SD = 0.94).

Investment in digital literacy and technical training was viewed positively by 60.94% of respondents, though neutrality remained notable (28.37%), resulting in a moderate mean of 3.86 (SD = 0.90). Finally, capacity-building programs were widely endorsed, with 75.78% agreeing that such initiatives improve overall court performance (mean = 4.01, SD = 0.94). Overall, these results highlight that user-focused strategies—particularly training, capacity-building, and competence development—are central to improving adoption, efficiency, and accuracy in the judiciary's digital transformation.

4.1.4 System Outsourcing

Table 4 presents the descriptive statistics for system outsourcing in the context of law courts in Nairobi County, Kenya.

Table 4: Descriptive Results for System Outsourcing

	Mean	Std. Dev.
Outsourcing IT support services improves system functionality and reduces downtime in court operations	3.96	1.07
Courts that rely on external IT service providers experience fewer technical disruptions and faster issue resolution	4.13	1.08
Cloud-based storage solutions improve accessibility and security of legal documents in the judiciary	3.54	1.10
Courts using secure cloud storage systems report fewer incidents of lost or tampered legal records	3.97	1.03
The integration of external legal analytics services helps courts identify case trends and improve judicial decision-making	3.90	0.95
Courts that use data-driven legal analytics experience better case backlog management and workflow optimization	3.98	1.06

Source: Research Data (2025)

The findings on system outsourcing indicate widespread support for external IT services in enhancing court operations. A majority (71.09%) of respondents agreed that outsourcing IT support improves system functionality and reduces downtime, reflected in a mean score of 3.96 (SD = 1.07). Similarly, 75.78% agreed that reliance on external IT service providers reduces technical disruptions and accelerates issue resolution, supported by a higher mean score of 4.13 (SD = 1.08). Cloud-based storage was moderately supported, with 55.47% affirming its role in improving accessibility and security of legal documents (mean = 3.54, SD = 1.10), although 30.47% remained neutral. However, stronger agreement emerged regarding secure cloud storage, where 74.22% reported fewer incidents of lost or tampered records (mean = 3.97, SD = 1.03).

Integration of external legal analytics also received strong endorsement. About 67.97% supported its role in identifying case trends and enhancing judicial decision-making (mean = 3.90, SD = 0.95). Additionally, 74.22% agreed that data-driven legal analytics improve backlog management and workflow optimization (mean = 3.98, SD = 1.06). These findings collectively underscore the value of outsourcing IT functions and adopting cloud and analytics services to strengthen efficiency, security, and decision-making within the judiciary.

4.1.5 Performance of Law Courts

Table 5 presents the descriptive statistics for the performance of law courts in Nairobi County, Kenya, with a focus on how digital legal platform resources have influenced access to justice, judicial efficiency, and the overall performance of the courts.

Table 5: Descriptive Results for Performance of Law Courts

	Mean	Std. Dev.
Digital legal platform resources have improved access to justice by enabling virtual court hearings and online case filings	3.81	0.92
Investment in digital legal systems has reduced delays in case proceedings, allowing faster resolution of disputes	3.83	1.04
The availability of digital case tracking systems has improved transparency, making it easier for litigants to follow their cases	3.72	1.03
Courts with efficient digital platform resources provide better service delivery, leading to higher satisfaction among legal practitioners and litigants	3.84	0.92
Digitalization of legal processes has increased judicial efficiency, allowing courts to handle more cases within shorter timelines	3.84	0.93
Investment in digital technologies has reduced manual workload, enabling judicial officers to focus on decision-making rather than administrative tasks	3.75	1.01

Source: Research Data (2025)

The findings reveal that most respondents perceive digital legal platforms as highly beneficial to the judiciary. A significant majority acknowledged that technologies such as virtual hearings, online filings, and case tracking systems have enhanced access to justice, reduced delays, improved transparency, and strengthened service delivery. The analysis shows that digitalization has eased workloads, enabling judicial officers to focus more on core decision-making functions while improving overall efficiency and case resolution timelines. Despite these benefits, challenges persist. Respondents highlighted frequent system failures, limited technical support, and resistance to adopting digital tools among some staff as major obstacles. To address these, they emphasized the need for regular system maintenance, reliable IT support, and comprehensive, continuous training programs to enhance digital competence. While digital case management software and automation were praised for streamlining processes and reducing errors, system glitches and slow response times occasionally hindered performance. Outsourced IT services, cloud storage, and legal analytics were viewed positively for improving efficiency, accessibility, and data security, though concerns were raised about integration difficulties and cybersecurity risks. Overall, the results indicate that digital transformation is improving judicial performance, but its sustainability requires ongoing investment in infrastructure, training, system reliability, and secure integration.

4.2 Inferential Statistics

4.2.1 Correlation Analysis

Table 6 presents the correlation results for the independent variables (Digital Hardware, Digital Software, System Users, and System Outsourcing) and the dependent variable (Performance of Law Courts). The Pearson correlation coefficients and significance values show the strength and direction of the relationships between the variables.

Table 6: Correlation Results

		Digital Hardware	Digital Software	System Users	System Outsourcing	Performance of Law Courts
Digital Hardware	Pearson Correlation	1	.608**	.809**	.452**	.833**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	128	128	128	128	128
Digital Software	Pearson Correlation	.608**	1	.656**	.448**	.683**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	128	128	128	128	128
System Users	Pearson Correlation	.809**	.656**	1	.561**	.831**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	128	128	128	128	128

System Outsourcing	Pearson Correlation	.452**	.448**	.561**	1	.561**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	128	128	128	128	128
Performance of Law Courts	Pearson Correlation	.833**	.683**	.831**	.561**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	128	128	128	128	128

Source: Research Data (2025)

The inferential analysis highlights digital resources as critical enablers of court performance in Nairobi County. Digital hardware demonstrated the strongest correlation with efficiency (r = 0.833, p < 0.001), indicating that investments in courtroom technologies substantially improve operations, a finding consistent with international evidence where video conferencing and interactive displays enhanced judicial processes (Bender, 2021). Digital software was also strongly associated with performance (r = 0.683, p < 0.001), supporting studies that show case management systems accelerate resolution by automating administrative tasks (Kim & Kim, 2020). Equally important, the competence of system users showed a robust relationship with performance (r = 0.831, p < 0.001), emphasizing the role of training and digital literacy in reducing errors and improving efficiency (Rozalski, Yell, & Warner, 2021; Mjwana et al., 2021). Outsourcing IT services, while moderate, remained significant (r = 0.561, p < 0.001), reflecting evidence that external expertise in technical support, cloud storage, and cybersecurity reduces disruptions and strengthens data protection (Borah, 2018; Kovacs, 2021). Collectively, these findings confirm that effective integration of technology, human capacity, and outsourced support is central to building efficient, secure, and responsive judicial systems.

4.2.2 Regression Analysis

Regression analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between the variables. The results are presented in Tables 7, Tables 8, and Tables 9.

Table 7: Model Summary

R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
.891a	0.794	0.787	0.39077

Source: Research Data (2025)

The regression analysis revealed an R Square value of 0.794, indicating that 79.4% of the variation in the performance of law courts in Nairobi County is explained by digital hardware, digital software, system users, and system outsourcing. This demonstrates that the model is robust, with the majority of performance variability accounted for by these digital factors. Nonetheless, 20.6% of the variance remains unexplained, suggesting that additional elements beyond the scope of this study, such as policy frameworks, funding levels, or organizational culture, may also influence court performance.

Table 8: ANOVA

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	72.476	4	18.119	118.659	.000b
Residual	18.782	123	0.153		
Total	91.258	127			

Source: Research Data (2025)

Table 8 presents the ANOVA results, which help evaluate the overall significance of the regression model. The F-statistic is 118.659 indicated that the model explains a significant amount of the variance in the performance of law courts in Nairobi County. The significance value (p-value) is 0.000, which is highly significant (less than 0.001). This indicates that the independent variables (Digital Hardware, Digital Software, System Users, and System Outsourcing) together have a statistically significant effect on the dependent variable (performance of law courts).

Table 9: Regression Coefficients

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	**Std. Error**	**Beta**		
(Constant)	-0.427	0.207		-2.06	0.042
Digital Hardware	0.482	0.079	0.429	6.07	0.000
Digital Software	0.167	0.056	0.166	2.988	0.003
System Users	0.321	0.082	0.308	3.925	0.000
System Outsourcing	0.126	0.052	0.12	2.412	0.017

Source: Research Data (2025)

The regression analysis demonstrated that digital transformation significantly influences the performance of law courts in Nairobi County. Among the predictors, digital hardware had the strongest positive effect ($\beta = 0.482$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that investments in courtroom technologies directly enhance efficiency, case processing, and service delivery. Digital software also contributed positively ($\beta = 0.167$, $p = 0.003$), showing that tools such as case management and e-filing systems streamline administrative tasks and reduce delays. Competence and involvement of system users were equally critical ($\beta = 0.321$, $p < 0.001$), emphasizing the importance of digital literacy and continuous training in maximizing the benefits of legal technologies. System outsourcing, though comparatively moderate, remained significant ($\beta = 0.126$, $p = 0.017$), supporting the role of external IT services in minimizing disruptions and improving functionality. Collectively, these findings confirm that court performance is shaped by both technological resources and human capacity, reinforcing the need for integrated strategies that combine hardware, software, user competence, and outsourcing support. The final regression model underscores this relationship: Performance of Law Courts = $-0.427 + 0.482$(Digital Hardware) $+ 0.167$(Digital Software) $+ 0.321$(System Users) $+ 0.126$(System Outsourcing).

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

The study concludes that digital transformation anchored on modern hardware, advanced software, user competence, and strategic outsourcing significantly enhances the performance of law courts in Nairobi County. Each variable was found to positively influence efficiency, case management, and access to justice, underscoring the importance of continuous investment in technological modernization.

5.2 Recommendations

To sustain these gains, several recommendations are proposed. First, courts should prioritize the acquisition, upgrading, and maintenance of digital infrastructure such as video conferencing systems and electronic case filing platforms. Second, the full integration of case management software and secure digital databases is essential, complemented by strong cybersecurity safeguards. Third, continuous digital literacy training for judicial officers and clerks is recommended to maximize the benefits of new tools. Finally, outsourcing specialized IT services such as cloud storage, technical support, and legal analytics should be leveraged to improve reliability and efficiency.

REFERENCES

[1] Achumie, G. O., Bakare, O. A., & Okeke, N. I. (2024). Post-project financial auditing as a continuous improvement tool for SMEs. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net

[2] Ademi, T. (2024). Online dispute resolution and court efficiency in Kenya. *Journal of African Law and Technology, 16*(2), 55–72.

[3] Akinyemi, O., & Oladapo, A. (2019). Cost control practices and project performance in Nigerian road projects.

[4] Alade, O., & Windapo, A. (2019). Project cost management practices and construction project performance in South Africa. *Journal of Construction Project Management and Innovation, 9*(1), 2359–2376.

[5] Baumgart, M. (2023). Digital scheduling and case management in Egyptian courts: An experimental study. *Middle East Journal of Legal Studies, 12*(1), 101–118.

[6] Bender, S. (2021). Courtroom technology and judicial efficiency in the United States. *American Journal of Law and Society, 45*(3), 210–229.

[7] Borah, R. (2018). Outsourcing IT services in Mexican courts: Implications for efficiency. *Latin American Journal of Public Administration, 22*(4), 66–82.

[8] Chege, S. M., Wang, D., & Suntu, S. L. (2020). Impact of project management practices on the performance of construction projects in Kenya. *International Journal of Project Management, 38*(5), 345–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.03.002

[9] Chen, L., & Wang, H. (2018). Cloud-based legal research platforms and case outcomes in China. *Journal of Information Law, 30*(2), 145–160.

[10] Cruz, M. (2018). Cultural competency training for court interpreters in Spain. *International Journal of Language and Law, 7*(1), 50–67.

[11] Gwaya, A. O., Masu, S. M., & Wanyona, G. (2014). A critical analysis of causes of cost overruns in building construction projects in Nairobi County, Kenya. *International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 3*(4), 91–104. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijcem.20140304.02

[12] Khan, A., & Ali, S. (2021). Leadership training and court performance: Evidence from Pakistan. *Asian Journal of Legal Development, 9*(2), 133–149.

[13] Kim, S., & Kim, J. (2020). Case management software and judicial performance in South Korea. *Asian Journal of Law and Technology, 15*(3), 77–95.

[14] Kimutai, J. C., & Rotich, G. (2015). Influence of budgeting practices on performance of state corporations in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, 3*(6), 1204–1219.

[15] Kovacs, P. (2021). Outsourcing cybersecurity in Indian courts: A case study. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Law, 8*(2), 201–218.

[16] Mahar, A., Mahmood, R., & Zafar, S. (2024). Digital kiosks and court performance in Pakistan: A case study. *South Asian Journal of Legal Studies, 11*(2), 88–104.

[17] Mahibha, R., & Balasubramanian, P. (2020). Adoption of e-filing systems in Indian high courts: An empirical assessment. *Indian Journal of Law and Technology, 16*(1), 25–42.

[18] Memon, A. H., Rahman, I. A., & Azis, A. A. A. (2012). Time and cost performance in construction projects in southern and central regions of Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Advances in Applied Sciences, 1*(1), 45–52. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijaas.v1i1.537

[19] Mjwana, T., Le, H., & Pham, D. (2021). Digital literacy training for judges in Vietnam: Impacts on court efficiency. *Asian Journal of Judicial Administration, 19*(3), 112–128.

[20] Mulwa, M. (2023). Digital hardware and judicial performance in Nairobi courts. *Kenya Law Review, 9*(1), 45–63.

[21] Mutinda, J. M., & Kihara, A. N. (2018). Influence of cost management practices on performance of construction projects in Machakos County, Kenya. *International Journal of Project Management Research, 2*(4), 67–84.

[22] Ndegwa, J., & Maina, J. (2019). Budgetary control practices and financial performance of SACCOs in Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Economics and Finance, 3*(4), 154–174.

[23] Nyakundi, F. M., & Ombati, R. (2018). Effect of financial monitoring on project performance in Kenyan NGOs. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting, 7*(1), 12–20. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijfa.20180701.02

[24] Olawale, Y. A., & Sun, M. (2010). Cost and time control of construction projects: Inhibiting factors and mitigating measures in practice. *Construction Management and Economics, 28*(5), 509–526. https://doi.org/10.1080/01446191003674519

[25] Price, D. (2018). Alternative dispute resolution training and legal practice in Africa. *African Journal of Dispute Resolution, 6*(2), 90–108.

[26] Rodriguez Oconitrillo, J., Gomez, P., & Herrera, L. (2021). Artificial intelligence in Mexican courts: Impacts on legal research. *Revista de Derecho y Tecnología, 5*(1), 34–52.

[27] Rozalski, M., Yell, M., & Warner, J. (2021). Continuous professional development and court staff performance in the United States. *Judicial Management Review, 17*(2), 56–74.

[28] Sandoval-Almazan, R., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2020). Mobile court units and access to justice in rural China. *Government Information Quarterly, 37*(3), 101–119.

[29] Silva, R. (2021). Outsourcing transcription services in Brazilian courts: Efficiency and accuracy. *Latin American Law and Technology Journal, 10*(2), 70–89.

[30] Spatafora, A. (2023). Data analytics outsourcing and backlog reduction in UK courts. *British Journal of Law and Society, 14*(1), 65–83.

[31] Stoykova, E. (2023). Digital evidence systems and trial duration in Spanish civil courts. *European Journal of Judicial Studies, 28*(2), 150–167.

[32] Too, W., & Mutuku, M. (2025). Network Transformation and Productivity of Public Sector Institutions in Kenya. *East African Journal of Information Technology*, *8*(1), 35-45. https://doi.org/10.37284/eajit.8.1.2837